

Hanover County Community Planning and Management Team (CPMT)

February 11, 2016 at 12:30 pm

Hanover Department of Community Resources Meeting Room

Location: Hanover Community Resources Meeting Room
12306 Washington Hwy Ashland VA 23005

Present: Diane Brown, Mickey Callender, Sheila Crossen- Powell, Jackie Manzer, Claiborne Mason, Ivy Sager, Jim Taylor, ReN'ee Teague, Lynn Robbins, B.J. Zarris, and Kara Brooks

1. The CPMT meeting was called to order by Jim Taylor, on February 11, 2016 12:34pm, in the meeting room of the Hanover County Community Resources Building.
2. Jim Taylor officially welcomed Claiborne Mason and ReN'ee Teague to Hanover's CPMT.
3. The minutes from January 28, 2016 were reviewed. Ivy Sager noted two corrections to the minutes. First, a typo found in section 10: the statement should read, Kara Brooks gave a brief overview. Also, in section 8. b. Ivy Sager requested the minutes be revised to reflect the Family Partnership Meeting (FPM) as an option, not a mandate. CPMT members discussed the benefits of FPMs and the best practice model outlined by the state in utilizing FPMs prior to placement changes, but agreed the FPM is a tool, not a requirement. Lynn Robbins made a motion to approve the minutes with the revisions noted, seconded by Mickey Callender and approved by CPMT. (Minutes revised to state: A family partnership meeting can ~~and will~~ be utilized...).
4. Jackie Manzer presented the current fiscal report stating:
 - We still have not received any Medicaid billing information from OCS, so the credit noted remains. Removing the Medicaid piece, as of January 31st, total paid and encumbrances are \$3,746,000 vs prior year of \$3,696,000, a difference of \$50k.
 - Total encumbrances of \$2,061,000 are nearly the same as the prior year. The private day category has \$1,162,000 in encumbrances, which is \$33k lower than last year. Residential encumbrances are \$196k, which is \$72k than last year's amount of \$273k. Community based services are higher - they had a balance of \$568k at January 2016, which is \$174 higher than last year's balance of \$381k.
 - Local match rate is favorable to last year. If you remove the Medicaid reporting impact and estimate that Medicaid expenses are similar to last year, then we would be at a local match rate of 40.2%, favorable to the budgeted 42.9% and last year's 42.3%. The swing appears to be related to a change in the expenditure types, notably less payments on residential expenses where we pay a 55% match rate.
5. Each agency team member presented their individual agency scorecards:
 - Ivy Sager presented comparative information from the CSB Dashboard over the last several years and highlighted the current CSA funded FINS Care Coordination service.
 - Lynn Robbins presented data regarding the Court Service Unit including intake numbers and categories, diversion successes, drug court statistics and risk factors.
 - Sheila Crossen- Powell presented the DSS Dashboard, highlighting the CPS and foster trends over the last several years and the reduction of current congregate care placements.

- Diane Brown shared the Special Education Performance Report for Hanover, with special attention noted to the high number of IEP students that obtain employment and/or enrolled in post-secondary schooling.
 - Mickey Callender shared the 2015 Human Services Annual Report and discussed the assortment of services offered and the trends.
 - Kara Brooks shared current FY16 expenditures by category. At the end of the second quarter, Hanover CSA continues to spend more than our comparable agencies, with service lasting longer (when compared to Albemarle, Chesterfield and Henrico Counties). Compared with FY15, FY16 is noted to have fewer children DSS Custody, fewer children in SPED private day placements and a rise in parental placements out of home in residential settings. It was also noted in FY15 CSA was 25 million over budget across the state. OCS recently released areas of increase statewide, which upon comparison, Hanover's SPED placements is lower than the state average and Hanover utilized TFC more often.
6. Kara Brooks shared the data gathered from the GAP survey questionnaire and CPMT members reviewed the information. Through the GAP survey, Hanover CPMT agreed the targeted needs include the following five categories: transportation, local foster care homes, assessments/ evaluations, family support services and respite services. The survey response also highlighted the following: 52% of the individuals whom completed the survey felt community Leaders have not reached a consensus on prioritizing the development and or funding of this services; 36% indicated a need for greater collaboration among stakeholders; and 36% indicated there is a need to demonstrate the need to and value of this service to local decision makers. CPMT agreed there needs to be more discussion about the perceptions of the collaboration and engagement with case managers and FAPT members.
 7. Upon a motion by BJ Zarris and seconded by Sheila Crossen-Powell, CPMT went into Closed Session* for consideration of funding requests on behalf of services for particular children and/or families.
 8. Upon a motion by Mickey Callender and seconded by Claiborne Mason the meeting was reconvened.
 9. Lynn Robbins moved to certify during the Closed Session*, seconded by Diane Brown, only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion for Closed Session were discussed. All members agreed and certified the closed session.
 10. Lynn Robbins made a motion to approve the funding request per FAPT and IEP recommendations with the exception regarding case #2406. The family will pursue funding through the SPED appeals process before utilizing the \$500 for additional testing identified on the IEP. Sheila Crossen- Powell seconded the motion and CPMT unanimous approved the requested \$300,408.79.
 11. Jim Taylor adjourned CPMT at 2:04 pm February 11, 2016 until February 25th at 12:30 pm in the Hanover Community Resources Meeting Room.

Virginia code section § 2.2-5206 *Community policy and management teams; powers and duties.*

*Virginia code section 2.2-5210 *Proceedings held to consider the appropriate provision of services and funding for a particular child or family or both who have been referred to the family assessment and planning team and whose case is being assessed by this team or reviewed by the community policy and management team shall be confidential and not open to the public, unless the child and family who are the subjects of the proceeding request, in writing, that it be open. All information about specific children and families obtained by the team members in the discharge of their responsibilities to the team shall be confidential. Virginia code section §2.2-3711 Closed meetings authorized for certain limited purposes. A. Public bodies may hold closed meetings only for the following purposes: 4. The protection of the*

privacy of individuals in personal matters not related to public business.