

Shown below is a preliminary summary of the actions taken by the Hanover County Board of Supervisors on December 10, 2014. Minutes in final form will be presented to the Board for approval at a future date.

HANOVER COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DRAFT SUMMARY MINUTES

Hanover County Administration Building Board Room

December 10, 2014

VIRGINIA: At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors for Hanover County held in the Board Room of the Hanover County Administration Building on the 10th day of December, 2014, at 2:00 p.m.

Present: Mr. Sean M. Davis, Chairman
Mr. Wayne T. Hazzard, Vice-Chairman
Mrs. Angela Kelly-Wiecek
Mr. W. Canova Peterson
Mr. Aubrey M. Stanley
Mr. G.E. "Ed" Via, III
Mr. Elton J. Wade, Sr.
Mr. Cecil R. Harris, Jr., County Administrator
Mr. Sterling E. Rives, III, County Attorney

I. Call to Order

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. All Board members were present.

- A. The invocation was given by Mr. Hazzard.
- B. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Peterson.

II. Consideration of Agenda Amendments

The Chairman asked if there were any agenda amendments. Mr. Hazzard made a motion to add an amended chart to Item IV.-A. - Appointments, of the consent agenda, seconded by Mr. Via.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

III. Citizens' Time

The Chairman opened citizens' time and offered to anyone who wanted to address the Board of Supervisors for up to five minutes on any matter within the scope of the Board's authority that is not on the agenda for that meeting to come forward.

Seeing none, the Chairman closed citizens' time.

IV. Consent Agenda

Mr. Stanley made a motion to approve the consent agenda as amended, seconded by Mr. Hazzard.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

IV. A. - Committee Appointments

COMMITTEE NAME	DISTRICT	FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	CURRENT TERM BEGAN	TERM LENGTH	CURRENT TERM ENDS	ACTION
Cannery Advisory Committee	Ashland	Jessica	Martin	N/A	1 year	N/A	Appoint to 12/10/15
Community Services Board (Chapter 10)	Ashland	Eric	Hendrixson	01/01/12	3 Years	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/17
Community Services Board (Chapter 10)	At-Large	Thomas	Blake	01/01/12	3 years	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/17
Community Services Board (Chapter 10)	Beaverdam	Hamilton	Holloway	01/01/12	3 Years	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/17
Hanover County Council on Aging	Ashland	Madge	Carter	01/01/14	1 year	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/15
Hanover County Council on Aging	Mechanicsville	Jeanne	Bradley	01/01/14	1 year	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/15
Historical Commission	Historic Pole Green	Robert	Bluford, Jr.	01/01/13	2 years	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/16
Roads Committee	Mechanicsville	Jerry	Hall	01/01/14	1 year	12/31/14	Reappoint to 12/31/15
Social Services Advisory Board	Ashland	Robert	Richardson, Jr.	N/A	4 years	N/A	Appoint to 12/31/18

IV.-B. Budget Supplement and Authorization of Public Safety Response Equipment with Asset Forfeiture Funds – Sheriff’s Office - \$289,301

Board Sheet Background:

The Hanover County Sheriff’s Office has received funding through the Virginia Attorney General’s Asset Forfeiture Sharing Program.

This project is designed to purchase ten (10) law enforcement patrol vehicles, one (1) antenna for the School Alarm Project and five (5) Mobile Data Terminals (MDT’s). The patrol vehicles will enhance the longevity of our fleet operations by replacing 10 vehicles that are beyond safe operation use. The replacement of these vehicles is consistent with the replacement cycle and Fleet Policy for Public Safety vehicles. The school antenna will increase alarm reception within one of the elementary school sites. The MDT’s will replace aging devices in use that are approximately 6-years-old. If approved, this request will conclude the funding through the Attorney General’s Office Asset Forfeiture transfer from Fiscal Year 2014.

School Alarm	\$2,109.00
Vehicles	\$265,691.00
MDT's	\$21,501.00
Total	\$289,301.00

If this request is approved, the Sheriff's Office will have \$159,836.10 remaining in Federal Forfeiture Funds. These funds cannot be appropriated in anticipation of future collections per the following state and federal regulations: US DOJ Forfeiture Guide, Section X. *Uses of Equitable Shared Property*, A.4.b; VA DCJS Forfeited Asset Sharing Manual, Appendix H *Uses of Equitable Shared Money*; and, VA Sheriff's Accounting Manual, Chapter 8 Forfeited Property – Best Accounting Practices. This request meets the requirements for the use of forfeiture funds.

The Finance & Management Services Department concurs with this request.

Recommended Action:

Motion to approve the budget supplement and purchase of public safety training and response equipment with Asset Forfeiture Funds in the amount of \$289,301.

IV. C. Request for Extension - CUP-10-11, BP Winding Brook, L.L.C. and Lewistown Commerce Center, L.L.C. (South Anna Magisterial District)

Board Sheet Background:

On December 14, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved the above referenced Conditional Use Permit for two (2) Destination Commerce Signs in the Winding Brook development along its frontage on Interstate 95. On December 12, 2012, and December 11, 2013, the Board extended the CUP for one year. At the time of original approval, it was determined that the applicants had users that could be defined as destination commerce for at least 100 acres, which is the area required for this type of sign. Destination commerce users are defined in the Sign Ordinance as users whose businesses are regional in scope and unique in character, and draw from areas far beyond the County boundaries and require visibility and access from an interstate highway. Although the original proposed user of the site is no longer locating within Winding Brook, the Owners wish to seek additional users and develop the site as destination commerce. Therefore, the Owners request another extension of the Conditional Use Permit while they focus on bringing destination commerce users to the Winding Brook

development. At such time as the Owners request building permits for these signs, they will be required to demonstrate that they meet the Ordinance requirements for Destination Commerce Signs. The property was inspected by Zoning Compliance, and no violations were identified.

Based on consistency with prior Board actions on similar requests, the Planning Department recommends approval of a one-year extension subject to the original conditions of approval.

Recommended Action:

Approval of the extension of Conditional Use Permit, CUP-10-11, until December 31, 2015, subject to the original conditions.

IV.-D. Authorize the Purchase of Easements on GPIN's 8706-12-7167 and 8706-12-8045 for Road Improvements to the Intersection of Atlee Road and U.S. Route 301 – Department of Public Works – (Chickahominy Magisterial District)

Board Sheet Background:

The Department of Public Works requests approval of a settlement for acquisition of permanent and temporary easements on portions of GPIN's 8706-12-7167 and 8706-12-8045, for the purpose of constructing an additional turn lane and other improvements on Atlee Road at the intersection of U.S. Route 301. The proposed acquisition is necessary in order to begin construction of the Atlee Road Additional Turn Lane project to improve traffic flow through the Atlee Road – Route 301 intersection.

The property owner has agreed to accept \$66,535.51 for the purpose of settlement, as compensation for the property rights being acquired.

The Department of Public Works and the County Attorney's Office recommend approval of the settlement in the amount of \$66,535.51.

Recommended Action:

Authorize the purchase of easements on GPIN's 8706-12-7167 and 8706-12-8045 in the amount of \$66,535.51 and authorize the County Administrator to take all necessary actions to execute closing documents in a form approved by the County Attorney.

IV.-E. Budget Transfer of Reserve for Contingencies – Software and Equipment \$175,000

Board Sheet Background:

This budget transfer is being requested to fund investments in software and equipment. The projects to be funded are:

1. Building Inspections Mobile Reporting - \$25,000
2. Agenda Meeting Software - \$20,000
3. Board of Supervisors Meeting Room Equipment - \$130,000

The Building Inspections Mobile Reporting project provides technology for the inspectors to allow for efficiencies gained through entering data in the field.

The Agenda Meeting Software replaces existing outdated software with a new system that provides for more efficient operation and substantially improves citizen interaction with County documentation.

The Board of Supervisors Meeting Room Equipment project includes replacing aging or failing equipment to include controllers, microphones, amplifiers, volume controls and peripherals.

After approval of this transfer, there will be \$1.1M remaining in the Reserve for Contingencies.

Finance & Management Services concurs with this transfer request.

Recommended Action:

Motion to approve the Budget Transfer of Reserve for Contingencies for Technology Improvements in the amount of \$175,000.

V. Presentation – Smart Beginnings Early Childhood Initiative

Ms. Jacque Hale, Director of Smart Beginnings Greater Richmond, came forward to give this presentation to the Board. Smart Beginnings Greater Richmond is a regional coalition, a partnership of United Way and the Greater Richmond Chamber. Smart Beginnings Greater Richmond is one of 25 regional Smart Beginnings across the state. The coalition is the culmination of more than 16 years of focused early childhood efforts and coordination of public and private partners to ensure that all of our children, age 0 -5, are healthy, well-cared for and ready to succeed in school and in life.

Workforce Development

The ultimate outcome is for children to enter school ready to succeed so they can read at grade level by 3rd grade, subsequently graduating on-time and workforce ready. From day one, the focus has been on workforce development with the scientific research clearly establishing that the early years are the most critical period for promoting the brain development on which all learning is based.

The rate of return for an investment in high-quality early learning programs is substantially higher than a return for job training programs later in life. Research is proving that to have a truly successful workforce development campaign, the investment needs to happen early and often in life.

Local Champions at the Legislature

Another statement of support for early childhood comes from one of Hanover's own, Delegate Chris Peace. Delegate Peace is a staunch supporter of early childhood education and understands that it takes not just preschool and child care, but home visiting to help support our most vulnerable families and their young children.

Delegate Peace Chairs Virginia's Commission on Youth, and took the lead in creating a bipartisan Early Childhood Education Work Group on Quality that met in June 2014. The Commission on Youth recently announced the adoption of study recommendations. Recommendations from this work group included a study on ways to improve the Virginia Preschool Initiative - developing a stronger system of professional development for child care teachers, which ultimately increases the quality of child care providers.

Strategic Plan Update

The updated Hanover County Human Services Strategic Plan for 2014-2019 reflects the current state trends and the regional early childhood priorities. The plan's School Ready Hanover section mirrors strategies included in Smart Beginnings literature and the Virginia Blueprint that maximize Virginia Preschool Initiative opportunities. The plan establishes PALS-K - a literacy assessment given in the fall of kindergarten as a unified indicator between schools and human services to jointly identify and implement strategies to help build early literacy skills in the County. Child care providers in Hanover - those that are small businesses, religiously exempt, or franchises - provide a necessary service to many families here in the County. School Ready Hanover calls for improving their quality and accreditation, because both public and private investments in young children and their families are critical to educational success and economic growth in Virginia.

The plan also includes an early childhood component in Healthy Hanover: home visiting. Home visiting is an evidence-based initiative which provides support and coaching to vulnerable families with young children. This improves health and developmental outcomes for the children; but research shows that it increases self-sufficiency of the parents, too. Because of this, home visiting is known as a two-generations approach, one that will help strengthen families in Hanover, those that are struggling to make ends meet, but still want the best for their children.

Communicating with Parents

It's expensive to communicate, so working together in our region and sharing resources and information will be a benefit to Hanover County. Smart Beginnings provided a \$10,000 planning grant to Hanover County in 2010. That grant helped to support the Hanover Early Childhood Council, consisting of County and school staff, as well as private citizens.

With technical assistance from Smart Beginning staff, this group focuses on issues facing Hanover's families and young children. Leveraging best practices, communication tools and data from Smart Beginnings, the Early Childhood Council will work to support the objectives in the Hanover Human Services Strategic Plan.

As stated in the human services strategic plan, this group will develop a communication plan, in partnership with Smart Beginnings, to make sure parents in Hanover are aware of the importance of their children's first five years. The intent is to educate parents on what to look for in finding a high-quality child care provider - and we've even gone a little outside of our early childhood range, to help the Community Services Board promote some of the education programs they offer for parents of tweens and teens.

Kindergarten Registration

Another way to communicate with parents, and all residents, is through the regional kindergarten registration campaign. By coordinating a single registration date across 15 school divisions, Smart Beginnings is able to leverage sponsorship to communicate to parents as well as aunts, uncles, grandparents, and neighbors of young children so that parents know when to register, and they know that they as parents are children's first and most important teacher.

This past year, Hanover saw a significant jump, with an 85% on-time registration rate (up from 76% the previous year). It's important to register on-time, in the spring, so that schools can better prepare and budget for incoming students. In addition, and more importantly, parent surveys were administered on-site during registration in April 2014. This survey sample size was statistically significant for our region, and we are now able to report that 96% of parents who saw or heard information about kindergarten registration were better prepared to register.

VI. Request for Appropriation – Public Works - \$450,000 – and Authorization to Execute VDOT Project Administration Agreement I-95/Route 54 Interchange Alternatives Analysis (Ashland Magisterial District)

Board Sheet Background:

Earlier this year, the Richmond Area MPO approved a joint funding application, submitted by the County and the Town of Ashland, to analyze various modifications in the I-95/Route 54 interchange area to address operational and safety concerns. This project is on the candidate project list developed for the Board's Transportation Policy. The MPO awarded \$450,000 in federal Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds for this effort, and the Town has expressed willingness to contribute an additional \$100,000. The Hanover County Department of Public Works will administer the initial analysis phase of the project which is expected to cost \$102,475. The study will begin upon execution of the attached project administration agreement and approval of the attached Budget Supplement Detail which will appropriate the funds for the project. The remaining funds are expected to go towards the eventual design and construction of the phased interchange improvements. The Department of Finance and Management Services concurs with the budget appropriation.

Recommended Action:

Motion to approve the appropriation in the amount of \$450,000 and authorize the County Administrator to execute agreements and take all other actions as necessary for the County to administer the project.

Mike Flagg, Director of Public Works, came forward to present this request to the Board. Following the presentation, Mr. Flagg answered questions from Board members. It was clarified that MPO refers to the newly renamed Richmond Regional Transportation Planning Organization (TPO).

Mr. Hazzard made a motion to approve the appropriation in the amount of \$450,000 and authorize the County Administrator to execute agreements and take all other actions as necessary for the County to administer the project. The motion was seconded by Mr. Peterson.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

VII. Presentation – 2015 Real Estate Assessment Update

Mr. Richard Paul, Director of Real Estate Assessments, came forward to give this presentation to the Board. He introduced Douglas Kirby, the new Deputy Assessor.

Mr. Paul reported on the calendar year 2015 assessment of real estate. Annually, all areas of the county are reviewed to determine if sales trends warrant a change in assessments. As a result of this study, approximately 7,200 parcels in 31 areas (15.3% of the total parcels in the county) were changed. In early January, the Assessor's Office will mail notices of assessment changes and the review period will be January 5 through January 23, 2015. Any property owner may contact the Assessor's Office during that period to discuss their property assessment. The Board of Equalization is scheduled to meet later in the year during which time any property owner may apply to discuss their assessment. The deadline to apply to the Board of Equalization is March 15, 2015. The Board of Equalization hearing dates have not been finalized at this time.

2014 Assessment Review

- The 2014 reassessment encompassed approximately 3,000 parcels.
- Sixteen areas were changed for 2014.
- One hundred fifty-six parcels received inquiries during the review period. Assessments remained unchanged on 44, decreased on 41, satisfied on 59 and increased on 12.
- Board of Equalization heard from 20 property owners representing 26 parcels of real estate. Assessments were upheld on 12 parcels, decreased on 13, and increased on 1.
- Total reassessment change was a positive \$19,719,000 or 0.17% net increase in tax base.

2015 Assessment Overview

- This reassessment encompassed approximately 7,200 parcels in thirty-one areas for 2015.
- Total reassessment change was a positive \$123,115,300 or 1.01% net increase in tax base.
- Total new construction change was a positive \$200,178,700 or 1.64% net increase in tax base.
- Total growth was a positive \$43,640,900 or 0.36% net increase in tax base.
- Collectively, there is a 3.01% increase in tax base.

Following the presentation Mr. Paul and Mr. Harris answered questions from Board members and offered clarification on several items in the presentation.

The Chairman announced a brief recess at 2:47 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 2:53 p.m.

VIII. Presentation – Follow-up to Human Services Report on Social Services Department Programs

As a follow up to the Human Services Annual Report, a presentation on the Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare (VIEW) and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) programs was provided by Dr. Sheila Crossen-Powell. Dr. Tamera Temoney, Assistant Director of Social Services and Mr. Jamall Minor, Family Services Specialist I were introduced.

Virginia's Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Program is based on Title IV-A of the Social Security Act as amended by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA) of 1996, the TANF State Plan, and on the Code of Virginia, Sections 63.2-602 through 63.2- 619. PRWORA allows states to establish program requirements in any manner which will reasonably accomplish the purpose of TANF. In Virginia, TANF recipients who do not have an exemption, i.e., having a child under the age of 12 months, being over age 60, etc., are required to participate in the VIEW program.

Dr. Crossen-Powell provided an overview of the objectives of both TANF and VIEW, as well as program utilization.

The Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare Program (VIEW) is a program of employment opportunities to assist individuals in attaining the goal of self- sufficiency.

The program goals are to offer Virginians living in poverty the opportunity:

- To achieve economic independence by removing barriers and disincentives to work and by providing positive incentives to work;
- To provide work skills necessary for self-sufficiency;
- To allow families living in poverty to contribute materially to their own self-sufficiency;
- To set out the responsibilities of and expectations for recipients of public assistance;
- To obtain work experience through the Virginia Initiative for Employment Not Welfare (VIEW).

Following the presentation, Dr. Crossen-Powell answered questions from Board members. Mr. Minor came forward and provided more detailed information about the VIEW program. The Board members had a detailed discussion on the programs.

IX. Authorization to Advertise Public Hearing – Sheriff’s Office/Animal Control – Ordinance No. 14-05, Amendment to Hanover County Code, Chapter 4, Animals, to Bring into Compliance with State Law and to Reflect Current or Recommended Practices

Board Sheet Background:

Over the past 6 years the Virginia General Assembly has enacted significant changes to Virginia’s animal laws and the County’s animal code needs updating to remain current with those changes. The proposed revisions to Chapter 4 reflect the changes needed to bring Chapter 4 into compliance with state law. In addition, the proposed revisions include changes that are based on Animal Control’s experience with enforcing the 3-year-old Nuisance Animal ordinance (Section 4-78) and with permitting of wild, exotic or vicious animals (Section 4-80). The proposed changes also include a new section that addresses dogs that kill, injure or chase livestock or poultry (Section 4-73) and revisions that reflect recommendations from the Health Department for the confinement of biting animals (Section 4-19).

Recommended Action:

Motion to advertise a public hearing to be held January 14, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. – Ordinance No. 14-05, Amendment to Hanover County Code, Chapter 4, Animals, to Bring into Compliance with State Law and to Reflect Current or Recommended Practices

Prior to the presentation, Mr. Rives introduced Katherine Collins, Assistant County Attorney I. Ms. Collins was welcomed by the Board.

Mrs. Yvonne Gibney, Senior Assistant County Attorney, came forward to present the request for authorization for advertisement of the public hearing.

Background

The Virginia General Assembly has made many changes to the Commonwealth’s laws governing animal care during the past 6 years

The majority of the changes proposed in Ordinance 14-05 are to bring the County Code up to date to reflect those state law changes

The other proposed changes –

- Are motivated by the County’s experience with enforcing two particular sections;
- Reflect recommendations from the Health Department; and
- Add a new section authorized by state law

Revisions Based on State Law Changes

Major changes include:

- Terms and definitions, including replacing the term “Pound” with “Public Animal Shelter”
- The new procedures for dealing with “Dangerous Dogs,” including the court procedures, the Virginia Dangerous Dog Registry and the fees associated with the Registry
- The new procedures for dealing with “Vicious Dogs”

Changes Based on Experience

Nuisance Animals (Section 4-78)

- 3 years of experience since the section was added to the County Code
- Proposed changes include –
 - Clarifying that it’s animal behavior that creates a public nuisance
 - Requiring that there be 2 instances of the animal behaviors listed in Section 4-78 to constitute a public nuisance.

Permit Required to Keep Wild, Exotic or Vicious Animals (Section 4-80)

- Proposed changes include –
 - Requiring the permitted animals owned by a permit applicant to be subject to regular inspections by Animal Control
 - Requiring a permit applicant to obtain a surety bond or liability insurance of \$100,000 to cover incidents involving permitted animals
 - Authorizing Animal Control to destroy a wild/exotic/vicious animal running at large if necessary to protect public safety
 - Authorizing the recovery of the County’s costs in locating, capturing and disposing of a wild/exotic/vicious animal

Changes Based on Health Dep’t Recommendations

Confinement of Biting Animals (Section 4-19)

- Proposed change would require a 10 day confinement of a “companion animal” only if
 - It bites a human or
 - It has been bitten by a wild animal

This is consistent with Health Department recommendations.

Addition of New Section

Dogs Killing, Injuring or Chasing Livestock or Poultry (4-73)

- The Code of Virginia authorizes localities to adopt an ordinance that authorizes animal control –
 - To seize or kill dogs in the act of killing or injuring livestock or poultry
 - To seize and examine dogs an ACO believes are killing livestock or poultry and
 - To obtain a warrant requiring a dog owner to appear before the General District Court to determine if the dog is a livestock killer and should therefore be killed or removed from the state.

Following the presentation, Mrs. Gibney and Mr. Rives answered questions from Board members.

Mr. Hazzard made a motion to advertise a public hearing to be held January 14, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. – Ordinance No. 14-05, Amendment to Hanover County Code, Chapter 4, Animals, to Bring into Compliance with State Law and to Reflect Current or Recommended Practices. The motion was seconded by Mr. Stanley.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. “Ed” Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

X. Presentation – Human Resources Annual Report – Mrs. Lawson

Executive Summary

- Total Workforce 1,490 employees
 - 1,027 Full-time
 - 463 Part-time, Boards, Seasonal
- Average Salary \$54,420.27
- 151 Positions Posted

- 205 New Hires
 - 96 Full-time
 - 109 Part-time
- Turnover Rate: 9.82%

Mrs. Lawson detailed employee demographics by race, gender and residency. Compensation by grade and salary range was explained. The compensation plan includes salary scales beginning with grade 13 and ending with grade 47. The number of applications processed each year for the past five years was reported along with recruitment and staffing statistics by race and gender. Turnover rates and comparisons to nearby localities were detailed.

FY14 Initiatives

- Implemented the VRS Hybrid Plan
- Ensured compliance with the Affordable Care Act
- Implemented a new applicant tracking system
- Initiated a new performance management system
- Processed the first pay-for-performance merit increase since 2008

Following the presentation, Mrs. Lawson and Mr. Harris answered questions from Board members.

XI. Closed Session: Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia – Acquisition of parcel designated as GPIN 7768-94-1986, Elmont Tank and Pump Station and Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia – Acquisition of permanent drainage easement, permanent utility easements and temporary construction easement on GPIN 7796-95-3615 (Atlee Road Extended Road Project)

Mr. Hazzard moved that the Board of Supervisors go into Closed Session pursuant to the following: Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia – Acquisition of parcel designated as GPIN 7768-94-1986, Elmont Tank and Pump Station and Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia – Acquisition of permanent drainage easement, permanent utility easements and temporary construction easement on GPIN 7796-95-3615 (Atlee Road Extended Road Project). The motion was seconded by Mr. Stanley.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

Board members entered Closed Session at 4:03 p.m. At the conclusion of the Closed Session, all Board members returned to the Board room, and the Chairman called the regular meeting back to order at 4:41 p.m.

Certification of Closed Session

Mr. Hazzard moved that the Board of Supervisors certify that during the Closed Session only public business matters lawfully exempted from the open meeting requirement of the Freedom of Information Act and only such public business matters as were identified in the motion for the Closed Session were discussed. The motion was seconded by Mr. Stanley.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

Mr. Hazzard made a motion that the Board authorize the purchase of a parcel identified as GPIN 7768-94-1986 for an amount not to exceed \$165,000 to serve as the location of Elmont Tank and Pump Station and authorize the County Administrator to take all actions necessary to complete the acquisition. The motion was seconded by Mr. Stanley.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

Mrs. Kelly-Wiecek made a motion that the Board authorize the purchase of a permanent drainage easement, permanent utility easement and temporary construction easement on GPIN 7796-95-3615 for \$19,769.22 for proposed road improvements, and authorize the County Administrator to take all actions necessary to complete the acquisition. The motion was seconded by Mr. Peterson.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

XII. Recess

The meeting was recessed at 4:43 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 7:00 p.m.

XIII. Citizens' Time

The Chairman opened citizens' time and offered to anyone who wanted to address the Board of Supervisors for up to five minutes on any matter within the scope of the Board's authority that is not on the agenda for that meeting to come forward.

Ms. Afreen Y. Gootee, President of the Hanover Education Association and resident of the Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to address the Board and request continued funding of the school budget.

Mr. Chris Pace, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of increased class sizes and further school budget reductions.

Seeing no others, the Chairman closed citizens' time.

XIV. Presentation: Eagle Scout Parker Hohman – Troop 544 - Chickahominy Magisterial District

Mrs. Kelly-Wiecek called Eagle Scout Hohman and his family forward and presented him with a proclamation from the Board recognizing his achievement.

Prior to the public hearings, Mr. Hazzard explained that comments made at the Joint Education Committee meeting were taken out of context. He explained the accurate meaning of the comments made. Mr. Peterson also stated that comments at that meeting were taken out of context and clarified his statements in response to a letter he received from the Friends of Hanover Schools.

XV. Public Hearings - Ordinance 14-14 - Request to add Rutland Subdivision to the Hanover County Recycling Service District for the purpose of funding curbside recycling services (Chickahominy Magisterial District)

Board Sheet Background:

On November 12, 2014, the Board of Supervisors authorized the advertisement of a public hearing to add one new area, Rutland Subdivision, to the Hanover County Recycling Service District.

In accordance with the application procedure adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 2007, staff met with the residents of Rutland Subdivision on August 7, 2014 to discuss their application for inclusion in the District. Rutland Subdivision residents have presented the Department of Public Works with petitions with signatures from over eighty percent of the parcel owners (signatures from 83 percent of 420 parcel owners) in accordance with the County's policy.

Notice of the public hearing has been mailed to all residents of Rutland Subdivision. The pro-rata cost of the curbside recycling service is added to the real estate tax bill for all lots within the District, regardless of whether the individual lot owners use the service. The estimated annual cost for the service and resulting tax is \$19.50 per lot starting July 1, 2015 and could increase annually according to the consumer price index, new contracts and other factors. The annual tax would be approved by the Board in the budget process, with associated notice and public hearing. Residents

wishing to participate are required to purchase recycling bins for use in the program or to place a decal on another approved container. Services are provided through an agreement between the County and the Central Virginia Waste Management Authority (CVWMA). Pick up occurs every other week. Public information and service questions will be provided through CVWMA. Hanover County staff will serve as facilitator of services. Additional areas of the subdivision will be added July of each year as they are put to record.

Recommended Action:

Motion to adopt Ordinance 14-14 to add Rutland Subdivision to the Hanover County Recycling Service District for the purpose of funding recycling services.

Mr. Mike Flagg, Director of Public Works, came forward to present the Board with the details of this proposed ordinance.

The Chairman opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matter come forward.

Mr. Rick Watson, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in support of the ordinance.

Seeing no others come forward, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mrs. Kelly-Wiecek, seconded by Mr. Via, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to adopt Ordinance 14-14 to add Rutland Subdivision to the Hanover County Recycling Service District for the purpose of funding recycling services, as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

XVI. Planning Public Hearings

CUP-2-90 - AM. 1-14 – Fomin Construction, Inc., Requests an amendment for the purpose of rescinding a Conditional Use Permit (CUP-2-90, James C. and Patsy Dymacek) for an agricultural and forestal support center on GPIN 7803-30-8301, consisting of approximately 9.07 acres, zoned A-1,

Agricultural District, and located on the north line of Mountain Road (U.S. Route 33) approximately 75 feet west of its intersection with Dunn Road (State Route 736) in the Beaverdam Magisterial District. The subject property is designated on the General Land Use Plan Map as Business-Industrial.

Planning Analysis:

- A CUP was approved in 1990 for an agricultural and forestal support center, and the use has been active within the last 2 years
- The applicant would like to rescind the CUP to move forward with the new use

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend to **RESCIND** CUP-2-90

C-16-14(c) - Fomin Construction, Inc., Requests to rezone from A-1, Agricultural District, to AR-6(c), Agricultural Residential District with conditions and M-2(c), Light Industrial District with conditions, on GPIN 7803-30-8301, consisting of approximately 9.07 acres, and located on the north line of Mountain Road (U.S. Route 33) approximately 75 feet west of its intersection with Dunn Road (State Route 736) in the Beaverdam Magisterial District. The subject property is designated on the General Land Use Plan Map as Agricultural and Business-Industrial. The proposed zoning amendment would permit one (1) residential lot and a contractor's office, warehouse, and equipment storage yard.

Planning Analysis:

- This is a two-part request:
 - Rezone 3.7 acres to AR-6(c), to allow 1 residential building lot
 - Access provided by 50' easement along southeastern property line
 - Rezone 5.36 acres to M-2(c), to allow a contractor's equipment storage yard, warehouse, and office
 - Applicant to use existing gravel parking and metal building, including a proposed 552 sq. ft. addition
 - Dwelling for caretaker proposed

Proffers:

- Conceptual Plan
- Tree Preservation
- Reservation of Right-of-Way
- Road Improvement Contribution - For the proposed residential parcel

- Screening of Outdoor Storage - On the M-2 parcel
- Use Restrictions - For the M-2 parcel

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend **APPROVAL** subject to the submitted proffers

Mr. Maloney presented these cases to the Board. Following the presentation, Mr. Maloney answered questions from Board members.

The Chairman opened the public hearing for both items and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matters come forward. Seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mr. Stanley, seconded by Mr. Hazzard, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to rescind CUP-2-90 - Fomin Construction, Inc., as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

On motion of Mr. Stanley, seconded by Mr. Hazzard, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Ordinance C-16-14(c) - Fomin Construction, Inc., as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

C-16-07(c) - AM. 1-14 - D & R Property Development, Inc. Et Al, Requests an amendment to the proffers approved with rezoning request C-16-07(c), Am. 1-13, D & R Property Development, Inc., on GPINs 8706-66-5150, 8706-66-5190, 8706-66-6019, 8706-66-6048, 8706-66-7134, 8706-66-7157, 8706-66-7272, 8706-66-7295, 8706-66-8181, 8706-66-8068, 8706-66-8056, 8706-66-8044, 8706-66-8021, 8706-66-9058 and 8706-66-7250, consisting of approximately 20.74 acres, zoned R-4(c),

Residential Cluster Development District with conditions, and located along and at the terminus of Marley Drive (state route pending) approximate 700 feet east of its intersection with Chamberlayne Road (U.S. Route 301) in the Chickahominy Magisterial District. The subject property is designated on the General Land Use Plan Map as Suburban High (4-8 dwelling units per acre). The proposed zoning amendment would amend the proffered building elevations.

Planning Analysis:

- The applicant has a contract to sell the remaining lots to a new builder who seeks elevations to allow for a different architectural style
- Proffer No. 3 must be amended to reference the new elevations

	<u>Approved</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Style	Colonial	Craftsman
Garage	2 Car	1.5 Car
Driveway	Width for 2 cars	Width for 2 cars

Proffers:

- All approved proffers remain unchanged, with the exception of Proffers #3 and #8 of the R-4 section, which relates to elevations and exterior materials
 - Those proffers now reference the proposed elevations

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend **APPROVAL** of the revised elevations subject to the submitted proffers

Mr. Maloney presented this case to the Board.

The Chairman opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matter come forward. Seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mrs. Kelly Wiecek, seconded by Mr. Via, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Ordinance C-16-07(c) - AM. 1-14 - D & R Property Development, Inc. Et Al, as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

C-15-14(c) – Barbara and Paul Brown, Sr., Request to rezone from A-1, Agricultural District, to AR-6(c), Agricultural Residential District with conditions, on GPIN 7871-34-5289, consisting of approximately 23.49 acres, and located on the north line of Blunts Bridge Road (State Route 667) approximately 450 feet west of its intersection with Cross Corner Road (State Route 641) in the Beaverdam Magisterial District. The subject property is designated on the General Land Use Plan Map as Agricultural. The proposed zoning amendment would permit the creation of two (2) additional building lots for a gross density of one (1) dwelling unit per 7.83 acres.

Planning Analysis:

- The subject parcel is to be divided into 3 lots
 - This is the maximum number of lots permitted for this parcel under the AR-6 zoning district
- The 2 proposed 3.5+ acre building lots are shown to be located at the front of the property along Blunts Bridge Road
- The 3rd parcel with the existing house will have approx. 18 acres
- A 50' access easement would run along the existing driveway and provide access to all 3 lots

Proffers:

- Conceptual Plan
- Tree Preservation
- Road Improvement Contribution
- Reservation of Right-of-Way
- Access
- New Houses Oriented to Face Blunts Bridge Road
- Cemetery – to be shown on the subdivision plat according to previously recorded deeds and plats

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend **APPROVAL** subject to the submitted proffers

Mr. Maloney presented this case to the Board.

The Chairman opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matter come forward.

Mr. Jim Price, Beaverdam Magisterial District, came forward to request the two new houses be set back further to be more compatible to the neighboring property.

Ms. R. Spence, Beaverdam Magisterial District, came forward and spoke in favor of the matter. The houses will be set back further off the road like the neighboring properties.

Ms. Ann Samuel and Mr. F. Blair Ostergrew, owners of the property to the right of the proposed development, came forward and stated that it is their family cemetery shown on the subdivision plat. They stated there is a discrepancy in that there is more land to the cemetery than what is shown.

Mr. Paul Brown, applicant, responded that no one has contacted him regarding the property where the cemetery is located.

Seeing no others come forward, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

There was a discussion about the cemetery on the property and a possible dispute of ownership of some or all of the property to be rezoned. It was noted that tax records show Mr. and Mrs. Brown are the owners of the property.

On motion of Mr. Stanley, seconded by Mr. Hazzard, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Ordinance C-15-14(c) – Barbara and Paul Brown, Sr., as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

C-7-14(c) - E. Tyree Chappell, Estate, Requests to rezone from A-1, Agricultural District, to RS(c), Single Family Residential District with conditions, on GPIN 7796-28-9346 (part), consisting of

approximately 41.94 acres, and located on the west line of Atlee Station Road (State Route 637) approximately 300 feet south of its intersection with Deer Stream Drive (State Route 2140) in the Chickahominy Magisterial District. The subject property is designated on the General Land Use Plan Map as Suburban General (1-4 dwelling units per acre). The proposed zoning amendment would permit the creation of 68 building lot(s) for a gross density of 1.62 dwelling units per acre.

Planning Analysis:

- The proposed subdivision will include 68 residential lots for a gross density of 1.62 DU per acre
- The RS District requirements have all been met
- 15% (5.45 acres) common open space is required
 - 20.5% (8.6 acres) is provided
 - An additional 8.05 acres of open space is provided after RPA, steep slopes and 50% of the subdivision buffer are accounted for
- Amenities include:
 - Sidewalks on both sides of each internal street
 - A 4-ft asphalt pedestrian trail along the entire Atlee Station Road frontage
- Typical landscaping cross sections have been provided for the street buffer and for typical plantings along the internal streets
- To address a concern made by an adjoining property owner, the applicant has added a 20' landscaped common area along the southern property line
- This subdivision will be located on a portion of the Summer Duck Farm, which includes a home that dates to the 1840s and several outbuildings that date to the late 19th and early 20th centuries
 - The applicant has submitted a proffer that preserves oldest farm structures on Lot 33, including the historic home, smokehouse and the granary

Transportation

- Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was performed for the proposed neighborhood:
 - Atlee Station Road has an average daily traffic volume of approximately 12,000 vehicles per day

- Traffic turning movement counts were taken 7-9 AM and 4-6 PM during the week of May 19, 2013
- Existing peak hour volumes were increased by 1.5% to estimate no-build volumes
- Peak hour trips generated by the proposed neighborhood:
 - AM: 16 enter/49 exit; PM: 54 enter/32 exit
- Based on recommendations from the TIA, the applicant has provided the following improvements:
 - A northbound left turn lane on Atlee Station Road with 100' of storage, taper and transition at a length within the property frontage
 - The entrance will have 1 ingress lane and 2 egress lanes for separate left and right turn lanes
- The applicant will also provide a right turn taper to address community concerns regarding traffic congestion on Atlee Station Road
 - The proffered improvements are intended to complement the overall Thoroughfare Plan for Atlee Station Road
 - Designated as 100-foot Major Collector on Major Thoroughfare Plan
 - Typical section supports a four-lane divided highway with accommodations for both bicycle and pedestrian traffic

Schools Data:

- The School Board data was updated on September 30, 2014 to show current enrollment, and provide new projections
- It is anticipated that the subject development will produce approx. 37 children, half of which will be enrolled at the high school level, while the other half will be split between the elementary and middle school levels

Proffers:

- Road Improvement Contribution - In the amount of \$6,671.00 per lot
- House Size – Minimum 1,800 sq. ft.
- Foundations – Brick or Stone
- Right-of-Way Dedication – 50' from centerline of Atlee Station Road
- Road Improvements

- Buffer – Plantings and maintenance by HOA
- Historic Structure – Preservation and maintenance of Summerduck, allowing for future additions

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend **APPROVAL** subject to the submitted proffers

Mr. Maloney presented this case to the Board. Following the presentation, Mr. Maloney answered questions from Board members.

The Chairman opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matter come forward.

Ms. Jennifer Mullen with Roth, Duner, Jackson, PLC, came forward to speak on behalf of the E. Tyree Chappell Estate. Ms. Mullen described the proposed development and noted it is modeled after the adjacent subdivision.

Mr. Will Tignor, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing concerns with traffic. Mr. Tignor stated Board members should not be able to change votes.

Ms. Linda Holloman, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing the previous denial of the development, this not being the right time, safety concerns with student drivers, pedestrian safety and the large number of new developments being built in the area.

Ms. Holly Chappell-Davis, Chickahominy Magisterial District and applicant, came forward to speak in in favor of the project, citing the length of time the property has been in her family and their desire to develop the property in a similar manner to the surrounding land that has been allowed to develop.

Mr. Bob Blair, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing traffic concerns. He stated the plans for traffic improvements provide no benefit until they are completed.

Ms. Terri Gilbert, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project. She noted that the development is well planned but development in the area has come much faster than traffic improvements.

Mr. Tom Walsh, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing the large number of recently built and approved future developments. He voiced concerns with the reconsideration of the proposal and feels the development is in conflict with the Code of Virginia.

Mr. Harold Bloomingfeld, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing the need for traffic improvements now.

Ms. Carol Wallace, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project, citing flaws with traffic studies, particularly in consideration of stacking and queuing.

Ms. Heidi Craft, teacher at Atlee High School and parent of an Atlee High School student, spoke in opposition of the project, citing traffic concerns. She stated student athletes run on Atlee Station Road and asked that traffic concerns be considered first.

Mr. Bill Callahan, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to voice traffic concerns, citing poor site-lines in and out of his subdivision. He asked that the speed limit be lowered to 35 mph and a bike path or walkway be added.

Mr. Mike Hollaman, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project and expressed dissatisfaction with the proposal being reconsidered after it was previously denied.

Mr. Ron Fisher, Chickahominy Magisterial District, came forward to speak in opposition of the project. He expressed agreement with previous comments and cited dangerous traffic conditions and concerns with the previous vote being changed.

Ms. Mullen returned to address the comments made. She noted that safety was considered with the development. She stated it has been developed with measures above what is required by VDOT, Atlee Station Road currently carries under capacity and reiterated that there are proffered amounts for improvements.

Seeing no others come forward, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

Mr. Rives offered clarification on matters being reconsidered by the Board. He noted that this is not prohibited in State Law, County Code, Robert's Rules or in the Board of Supervisors Standing Rules and Procedures.

Mr. Maloney came forward to offer clarification on matters related to growth, the Comprehensive Plan and the traffic studies that were conducted.

The Board members had a detailed discussion on the concerns expressed, measures that have been taken to address them and the timeline of the development. There was further discussion on trying to have the Honey Meadows stop light installed sooner and exploring the possibility of VDOT reducing the speed limit.

On motion of Mrs. Kelly-Wiecek, seconded by Mr. Via, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Ordinance C-7-14(c) - E. Tyree Chappell, Estate, as follows:

	Vote:
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	No
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Sean M. Davis	No

The Chairman announced a brief recess at 9:15 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 9:24 p.m.

Ordinance Amendment - ORDINANCE 14-12 - CRAFT BREWERY

An ordinance to amend the Hanover County Code, Zoning Ordinance, as follows:

1. Amend Section 26-2 to add definitions of "craft brewery" and "brewery" and to delete the definition of "microbrewery";
2. Amend Section 26-107 to update the provisions allowing a restaurant with a craft brewery as a permitted use in the B-1, Neighborhood Business District and, by reference, in the B-2, Community Business District, the B-3, General Business District, the OS, Office/Service District, the M-1, Limited Industrial District, the M-2, Light Industrial District, and the M-3, Heavy Industrial District, to reflect the new definition of "craft brewery";
3. Amend Section 26-110 to provide that a "craft brewery" is permitted as a conditional use in the B-1 District;

4. Amend Sections 26-117 and 26-172 to provide that a “craft brewery” is a permitted use in the B-2 District and M-2 District, respectively, and, by reference, a conditional use in the OS District and a permitted use in the B-3 District and the M-3 District;
5. Amend Sections 26-130 and 26-181 to update the B-3 and M-3 District regulations to reflect the regulations applicable to craft breweries and breweries described above; and
6. Amend Sections 26-172 to provide that a “brewery” is a permitted use in the M-2 District and, by reference, the M-3 District.

Summary:

- The comprehensive update to the County’s business zoning district regulation included provisions to permit microbreweries with restaurants as a permitted use in the B-1, B-2 and B-3 districts, while also permitting stand-alone microbreweries that produce no more than 10,000 barrels per year as a conditional use in the B-3 district
- There has been tremendous growth in the popularity of microbreweries within the past several years
 - The ordinances as currently structured do not sufficiently address the operational and locational trends of the craft brewery industry
- To ensure that Hanover County remains a competitive location for the siting of craft breweries, the Board authorized Ord. 14-12 to further refine the County’s craft brewery and brewery land use regulations
- The ordinance provisions specifically include the following:
 - Inclusion of definitions for both “brewery” and “craft brewery”
 - Allowing craft breweries in the B-1 district with a Conditional Use Permit
 - Allowing craft breweries in the B-2 and B-3 districts as a use by right
 - Allowing a brewery in the M-2 district as a use by right

Recommendation:

The Planning Commission and staff recommend **APPROVAL** of the draft Ordinance Amendment 14-12, Craft Brewery

Mr. Maloney presented this proposed Ordinance Amendment to the Board. Following the presentation, Mr. Maloney answered questions from Board members.

The Chairman opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who wished to speak for or against the matter come forward. Seeing none, he closed the public hearing.

On motion of Mr. Via, seconded by Mr. Hazzard, the members of the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Ordinance Amendment - ORDINANCE 14-12 - CRAFT BREWERY as follows:

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Mr. Davis made a motion that the Board of Supervisors declare December 26, 2014 to be a County Holiday for County employees. The motion was seconded by Mr. Stanley.

	Vote:
Sean M. Davis	Aye
Wayne T. Hazzard	Aye
Angela Kelly-Wiecek	Aye
W. Canova Peterson	Aye
Aubrey M. Stanley	Aye
G. E. "Ed" Via, III	Aye
Elton J. Wade, Sr.	Aye

Motion Approved.

XVII. Announcements

Mr. Davis stated that it has been an honor to serve as Chairman. Mr. Hazzard complemented Mr. Davis on the way he has conducted the Board as Chairman and stated he is proud to have served as Vice-Chairman.

XVIII. Adjournment

At 9:29 p.m. the Chairman adjourned the meeting to January 14, 2015 – Hanover County Administration Building – 2:00 p.m.